

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General



STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
OPEN MEETING LAW TASK FORCE

1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

MEETING MINUTES
OPEN MEETING LAW TASK FORCE

The Teams meeting of the Open Meeting Law Task Force was called to order by Chief Deputy Attorney General Rosalie Bordelove at 10:02 a.m. on Thursday, May 14, 2026. Rosalie opened the discussion with welcoming members, and the purpose of this meeting is to discuss the proposed changes that everyone sent as well as reviewing the previous draft minutes for approval by members. The meeting started with public comment.

1. Public Comment:

No public comment.

2. Roll Call/Members Present:

Rosalie Bordelove, Nevada Attorney General's Office
Lea Cartwright, Cartwright NV Government Affairs
Sara Montalvo, Washoe County School District
Nicholas Vaskov, Attorney, City of Henderson
Doug Ritchie, Douglas County DA's Office
Ryan Russell, Allison McKenzie Law Firm
Benjamin Lipman, Las Vegas Review Journal, Inc.
Tyler Shaw, City of Reno
Sarah Bradley, Board of Medical Examiners
Chris Nielsen, Nevada System of Higher Education

Greg Ott, Chief Deputy Attorney General
Kristyn Wood, Nevada State Public Charter School Authority
Cadence Matijevec, Government Affairs Liaison
Michael Oh, Sr., City of Henderson
Molly Burkhardt, Nevada State Public Charter School Authority
Nathan Orme, Nevada Department of Human Services

3. Consideration of Potential Recommended Statutory Changes to NRS Chapter 241 and related Issues

a. NRS 241.035(3) regarding use of AI notetakers

Contract Lobbyist Lea Carwright opened discussion with changes to Chapter 241 related to AI note takers interaction in meetings and possible interference and suggested having the AI note-takers keep their interaction brief in meetings while taking notes.

Nathan Orme explained how the AI note-takers interaction does not interfere when he is in meetings.

Rosalie Bordelove explained that AI note takers are similar to a recording device and should not cause an interference in meetings and that anyone can record or video record as long as it does not disrupt the meeting.

Sarah Bradley mentioned a presentation at the Public Lawyers conference and how someone was recording videos from their phone of others coming and going to the meeting and found it disturbing and we should make sure that we stay in compliance with the requirements.

Suggestions include revising language to prohibit substantial interference or interaction. Clarifying that official records remain those maintained by the public body and referencing existing statutory provisions permitting removal of disruptive individuals.

Rosalie Bordelove directed the proposal to be revised and returned for further consideration at a future meeting.

b. NRS 241.035 regarding substituting audio/video recording for minutes for certain advisory bodies

Tyler Shaw, City of Reno presented proposed amendments that would allow qualifying advisory boards and commissions to use audio or video recordings in place of traditional written minutes.

Proposed changes to 241.035 would be to allow certain boards to substitute written minutes for a posted recording, require timely online posting of recordings and prepare an action summary identifying motions and voting outcomes.

Members didn't have any questions or concerns about the proposal.

c. NRS 241.015, 241.016 and/or 241.020 regarding the OML's application to certain advisory bodies

Doug Ritchie presented proposed language creating a limited exemption from Open Meeting Law requirements for certain subcommittees and working groups that do not expend public funds and serve only advisory functions.

Members discussed whether the current statutory definition of "subcommittee" may be overly broad and whether advisory recommendations should remain subject to public observation and participation.

Rosalie Bordelove suggested that this should be discussed further regarding the definition of subcommittee but expressed concerns regarding a broad exemption from Open Meeting Law requirements.

Some members volunteered to assist with developing revised language concerning subcommittee definitions and related proposals for future review.

d. NRS 241.021 regarding requiring a general public comment period at the beginning of meetings

Rosalie Bordelove stated that the member who proposed this item was not present and since it had been discussed in prior years and not moved forward it would be skipped for now.

e. NRS 241.033 regarding notice requirements to individuals

Sara Montalvo presented her proposed changes to notice requirements to individuals, particularly those employed by the public body. She also proposed an option for a

public body to move forward with discussion of a person's character where multiple attempts at service by multiple methods had been made.

Members discussed the pros and cons of the provisions and how they would play out in practice. Rosalie Bordelove noted that there had been opposition to email service in past legislative sessions.

Members wanted more time to consider the issue and tabled it until the next meeting. Sara Montalvo stated she would not be present for the next meeting but would send any edits or comments to Rosalie Bordelove that she received in between meetings.

f. NRS 241.039 regarding confidentiality of Attorney General's investigative records

Rosalie Bordelove presented proposed language regarding the confidentiality of investigative records and responses sent to the Attorney General's Office as part of an investigation. Members discussed the function of those provisions and indicated that they were in favor of the second sentence but not the first. Rosalie Bordelove stated she would look into some of the issues and bring it back at the next meeting.

g. NRS 241.015 regarding the definition of "deliberation"

Nicholas Vaskov presented two options for a proposed change to the definition of deliberation. Members discussed the options and preferred the second option. Nicholas Vaskov made a motion to approve the second option and Sara Montalvo seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

h. NRS 241.015 regarding the definition of "supporting material"

The member who proposed this item was not present and it was tabled to the next meeting.

i. NRS 241.033 regarding closed sessions to discuss character

Rosalie Bordelove presented proposed changes to the language requiring witnesses during closed sessions. She stated that it serves as a disincentive to allow closed sessions to discuss character even when the person being discussed would prefer to go into closed session. Sara Montalvo stated she was in favor of the language.

Rosalie Bordelove made a motion to approve the language. Doug Ritchie seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

j. NRS 241.035(1) regarding contents and attachments to minutes

Doug Ritchie presented proposed changes regarding attachments and included comments in minutes. Members discussed the effect of the language and some concerns with the specific language as written. Rosalie Bordelove asked for some time to think about the language and send comments to Doug Ritchie. The item was tabled to the next meeting.

k. NRS 241.031 regarding closed sessions for public safety concerns

Due to time constraints, this item was tabled to the next meeting.

4. Approval of April 1, 2026, meeting minutes

All members were in favor of the April 1st draft minutes. Sarah Bradley made a motion to approve the minutes, and Tyler Shaw seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Public Comment

Cadence Matijevich stated she was in support of item 3B from the City of Reno.

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 12:12 p.m.